

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,835	01/20/2004	Jong-Kon Choi	9903-086	4066
	0/761,835 01/20/2004 Jong-Kon Choi 9903-086 4066 0575 7590 11/30/2007 MARGER JOHNSON & MCCOLLOM, P.C.			
210 SW MORRISON STREET, SUITE 400			MITCHELL, JAMES M	
PORTLAND, OR 97204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2813	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·		TH				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/761,835	CHOI, JONG-KON				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James M. Mitchell	2813				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ju	<u>une 2007</u> .					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-5 and 12-16 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 12-16</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Oili	ce Action of form PTO-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/847,620</u> .						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea		ivod				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not rece	'				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	· —	al Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uhher:						

10/761,835 Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's remarks filed June 25, 2007. January 25, 2007 is still applicable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in applicant's original disclosure for a metallic layer attached to a back of a chip without an intervening adhesive layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number:

10/761,835 Art Unit: 2813

- 4. Claims 1, 2, 5,12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krusius et al. (U.S. 6,476,886).
- 5. Krusius (Fig. 8, 9, 12) discloses:
- (cl. 1, 12, 14) A digital micro-mirror device (DMD) package comprising: a base substrate (900) having a top surface and a bottom surface; a semiconductor chip (304) having a metallic layer (e.g. evaporation; 804; Fig. 8) formed on a back surface of the semiconductor chip without an adhesive layer therebetween; a metallic adhesive (Col. 10, Lines 63-67) between the base substrate and the semiconductor chip, thereby to attach the semiconductor chip to the base substrate with the metallic adhesive adhering to the metallic layer of the back surface of the semiconductor chip and with the metallic adhesive adhering also to the top surface of the base substrate; one or more micromirrors (238) mounted on a front surface of the semiconductor chip; and a hermetic sealing means (234, 230) covering the front surface of the semiconductor chip including the one or more micro-mirrors.
- (cl. 2, 15) a heat sink attached on the bottom surface of the base substrate (coL. 13, Lines 45-50);
- (cl. 5) the adhesive is solder (Col. 14, Lines 2-18).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 3, 4, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Krusius et al. (U.S. 6,476,886).
- 8. Krusius discloses the elements of paragraph 5 of this office action, but does not show the selected material, such as the metallic layer being Au or that the base is ceramic. However, the selection would have been obvious¹, since it has been held that the selection of a known material based on its suitability for its intended use supported a prima facie obviousness determination in Sinclair & Carroll Co. v. Interchemical Corp., 325 U.S. 327, 65 USPQ 297 (1945).

Response to Arguments

- 9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 10. In an effort to expedite prosecution of the application, examiner has addressed arguments that may still be relevant.
- 11. Applicant contends that support for his negative limitation is found, because the specification is absent of any written description supporting an intermediate adhesive layer. Examiner is unpersuaded, because nothing in applicant's specification or alleged analysis would enable one skilled in the art with reasonable clarity that applicant was in possession of a "metallic layer on a back surface of a chip without an intervening layer"

¹ Krusius disclosure is broad of a metal layer which encompasses all metals. Similarly its disclose of its base material was only illustrative.

Application/Control Number:

10/761,835 Art Unit: 2813

therebetween," as required pursuant to 35 U.S.C 112. Applicant admission further bolsters examiner position that nothing express or inherent in the process supports the use of no intermediate adhesive.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Set an 2813

Page 6